

Chapter 6:

Consultation and Coordination

Chapter 6:

Consultation and Coordination

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 6 – Consultation and Coordination 6-1

6.1 Agency and Public Participation Summary6-1

6.1.1 Scoping6-1

6.1.2 Cooperating/Consulting Agency Preliminary Draft EIS6-2

6.1.3 Draft EIS Public Hearings and Comment Period6-3

6.1.4 Comments Received on the Draft EIS6-5

6.2 Consultation6-7

6.2.1 Native American Tribes Coordination.....6-7

6.3 List of Reviewers and Preparers6-9

6.4 List of Agencies, Organizations, and Persons to Whom Copies
of the Final EIS were Sent and Locations Where the Final EIS
Can be Reviewed6-13

List of Tables

Table 6-1 List of Agencies and Organizations Contacted for the EIS6-8

Table 6-2 List of EIS Preparers6-10

Table 6-3a List of Agencies, Organizations, and Persons to Whom Hard
Copies of the Moffat Final EIS were Sent6-13

Table 6-3b List of Agencies, Organizations, and Persons to Whom
Electronic Copies of the Moffat Final EIS were Sent.....6-14

TABLE OF CONTENTS

This page intentionally left blank

6.1 AGENCY AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SUMMARY

6.1.1 Scoping

As required by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA), the United States (U.S.) Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) has provided for an open dialogue that was initiated early in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) process to determine the scope of significant issues to be addressed in the document. Scoping is not a single, isolated action, but an ongoing process. The scoping process helps to:

1. inform the public and affected agencies about the background, purpose, and features of a proposed project,
2. objectively identify agency and public issues and concerns about the Applicant's proposal,
3. gather additional information about issues and concerns, and
4. identify a reasonable range of alternatives and potential impacts to be evaluated in the EIS.

To identify the issues and concerns related to the Moffat Collection System Project (Moffat Project or Project), the Corps advertised the EIS, solicited agency and public input on scoping, and documented scoping as follows:

- The Corps initiated the public scoping process by posting a **Public Notice**, dated September 15, 2003, on the Corps website and mailing a copy of the Public Notice to over 200 entities, including Federal, State, regional, and local governmental agencies, American Indian Tribes, recreation and environmental organizations, water districts, homeowners associations, and other special interest groups. A copy of the Public Notice, which solicited scoping input from the public and agencies regarding the Moffat Project, can be found in Appendix J.
- A **Notice of Intent (NOI)** to prepare an EIS for the Moffat Project preliminary alternatives was published in the *Federal Register* on September 17, 2003. A copy of the NOI can be found in Appendix J.
- **Legal Notices** were published on September 17 or 18, 2003 in *The Denver Post/Rocky Mountain News*, *The Boulder Daily Camera*, *The Arvada Sentinel*, and the *Winter Park Manifest*. Copies of the legal notices can be found in Appendix J.
- An **Agency Scoping Meeting** was held on October 7, 2003 at the Corps' Denver Regulatory Office in Littleton, Colorado, to review the Moffat Project purpose and need, preliminary alternatives, key environmental issues and agency concerns, and data availability and data/field study needs. Representatives from the following agencies attended the meeting: Corps, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), U.S. Forest Service (USFS), Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) Water Quality Control Division, and Grand County. Beginning during the scoping process and throughout the preparation of the EIS, FERC and EPA participated as NEPA Cooperating Agencies

Chapter 6 – Consultation and Coordination

(40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] 1501.6 and 1508.51), and Grand County participated as a Consulting Agency relative to effects on Grand County resources.

Although the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Colorado Parks and Wildlife (previously called Colorado Division of Wildlife), Colorado Office of the State Historic Preservation Officer, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, Boulder County, and Jefferson County were invited, these agencies were unable to attend the meeting, but participated as appropriate throughout the scoping process.

- Three **Public Scoping Meetings** were held to inform the public of the Moffat Project and to solicit public and agency comments. The meetings were held in Boulder, Colorado, on October 7, in Denver, Colorado, on October 8, and in Silver Creek, Colorado, on October 9, 2003. Documents made available at the meetings included: (1) Scoping Document prepared by the Corps describing the scoping process, agency involvement, EIS process, and information on how to submit comments; and (2) Moffat Project Information Document prepared by the Board of Water Commissioners (Denver Water) describing the Moffat Project and problem statement, purpose and need, and potential alternatives. Based on the attendance sheets, 26 people attended the meeting in Boulder, 13 people attended the meeting in Denver, and 21 people attended the meeting in Silver Creek.
- **Public and Agency Response to Scoping Issues:** The Corps received written and oral comments from the public and agencies during the scoping period, which are part of the Project Administrative Record. Additionally, the Historic Preservation Representative of the Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma responded in a letter expressing interest in participating in the Project.
- A **Scoping Summary** was prepared by the Corps that describes the scoping process, summarizes the comments, issues and concerns raised by agencies and the public, includes copies of attendance sheets from the public meetings, *Federal Register* notices and other public notices, and comment letters received. The Scoping Summary was mailed to the participating agencies, all attendees at the public scoping meetings, individuals who submitted comments, and individuals who contacted the Corps requesting to be on the mailing list.

Scoping information can be found on-line at:

- <http://www.nwo.usace.army.mil/Missions/RegulatoryProgram/Colorado/EISMoffat.aspx>

6.1.2 Cooperating/Consulting Agency Preliminary Draft EIS

During the period from June 24, 2008 to January 24, 2009, the Corps solicited comments on the Preliminary Draft EIS from the EPA and FERC as Cooperating Agencies and Grand County as a Consulting Agency. The 7-month comment period included an additional 45-day review requested by Grand County.

EPA commented on the Preliminary Draft EIS specifically regarding cumulative effects, Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines, stream segment impacts, alternatives, impact analysis baseline, mitigation, Mountain Pine Beetle kill issues, groundwater impacts, and updated evaluations of economic conditions.

Chapter 6 – Consultation and Coordination

Grand County responded to the Preliminary Draft EIS with comments regarding NEPA, Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines, Moffat/Windy Gap Firming cumulative effects, impact analysis baseline, hydrologic analyses concerns, water quality methodology, aquatic habitat and biological assessment, Project Purpose and Need, recreation, land use, visual resources, cultural resources, socioeconomics, impacts to the Arapaho & Roosevelt National Forests, Wild and Scenic designation of portions of the Colorado River, mitigation of effects, water rights, and climate change issues.

FERC responded to the Preliminary Draft EIS mostly to clarify FERC's role if an enlargement of Gross Reservoir is pursued. An expansion of Gross Reservoir would require Denver Water to receive approval for an amendment to the March 16, 2001 license for the Gross Reservoir Hydroelectric Project. Denver Water would also need to receive approval from FERC's Division of Dam Safety and Inspections for any modifications to the dam structure or other licensed project features.

The Corps provided responses to each agency's comments on June 16, 2009, and incorporated many of the agencies' recommendations in the Draft EIS. Agency comments on the Preliminary Draft EIS and the associated responses from the Corps are included in the Project Administrative Record. Ultimately, the committed actions described in the responses to comments on the Draft EIS are reflected in the Final EIS (refer to Section 6.1.4).

6.1.3 Draft EIS Public Hearings and Comment Period

In October 2009, the Corps issued a Draft EIS for public and agency review, the advertisement for which included the following:

- A **Notice of Availability** of the Draft EIS and related public hearings was published in the *Federal Register* under EPA and Department of Defense, Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers on October 30, 2009.
- A **Public Notice**, dated October 30, 2009, for the Section 404 Permit application, the availability of the Draft EIS, and the dates and locations of the public hearings was posted on the Corps website and sent to interested parties.
- **Postcards** were mailed to all registered attendees at the public scoping meetings and other interested parties and agencies informing them of the availability of the Draft EIS and of the public hearings.
- **Legal Notices** were published in the *Summit County Daily News*, *Denver Post*, *Coal Creek Canyon Mountain Messenger*, and *Highlander Monthly* newspapers regarding the availability of the Draft EIS.

A copy of the Draft EIS public notice materials and advertisements can be found in Appendix J.

Chapter 6 – Consultation and Coordination

- Four **Public Open Houses and Hearings** on the Draft EIS were held as follows:
 - December 1, 2009 in Boulder, Colorado
 - December 2, 2009 in Granby, Colorado
 - December 3, 2009 in Denver, Colorado
 - January 7, 2010 in Breckenridge, Colorado

In response to public and agency requests regarding Summit County, the fourth public open house and hearing was scheduled after the first three originally-held meetings, and was scheduled for December 8, 2009 in Keystone, Colorado. However, due to a winter weather advisory for Keystone and Summit County, Colorado on December 8, a Public Service Announcement (PSA) was released cancelling that meeting. Krystal 93 FM, KSKE “Ski Country” 104.7, and KSMT “The Mountain” 102.1 broadcasted this PSA and the Corps posted the cancellation on the Project website. The December 8, 2009 meeting was later rescheduled for January 7, 2010 in Breckenridge, Colorado. According to the sign-in sheets, approximately 76 people attended the December 1, 2009 public hearing; 154 attended the December 2, 2009 public hearing; 35 attended the December 3, 2009 public hearing; and 39 attended the January 7, 2010 public hearing. The public hearings were recorded by a Court Reporter. The transcripts for the four public hearings appear in Appendix J.

The comment period deadline for receiving comments on the Draft EIS was originally January 27, 2010 (representing a 90-day comment period, which is double the minimum required comment period under NEPA). Prior to and during the 90-day comment period and public hearings the Corps received numerous requests to extend the comment period on the Draft EIS and permit application. Due to the amount of information contained in the Draft EIS and its supporting documents, the need to afford the public ample opportunity to provide substantive comments, and to facilitate a timely and efficient review process, the Corps determined that a 32-day extension was warranted and reasonable. A second Public Notice announcing an extension of 32 days (from January 27, 2010 to March 1, 2010) was issued on December 11, 2009. A notice was published in the *Federal Register* on December 18, 2009 under Department of Defense, Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers. A postcard was mailed to all interested parties and registered attendees of the public scoping meetings informing them of this 32-day extension.

During the additional review period, the Corps again received numerous requests to extend the comment period on the Draft EIS and permit application. The Corps determined that an additional 16-day extension was warranted and reasonable. The comment period on the Draft EIS and Section 404 Permit application was then extended from March 1, 2010 to March 17, 2010. A third Public Notice announcing this extension was issued on February 5, 2010 and a notice was published in the *Federal Register* on February 22, 2010 under Department of Defense, Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers. A postcard was mailed to all interested parties and registered attendees of the public scoping meetings informing them of this 16-day extension.

Chapter 6 – Consultation and Coordination

Throughout the comment period, the public was encouraged to comment on the Draft EIS by sending comments to:

Scott Franklin, Moffat EIS Project Manager
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District
Denver Regulatory Office
9307 South Wadsworth Boulevard
Littleton, CO 80128
Fax: 303-979-0602
Email: moffat.eis@usace.army.mil

Copies of the Draft EIS were made available during the comment period at the following locations:

- Arvada Library, 7525 West 57th Avenue, Arvada, Colorado 80002
- Boulder Main Library, 1001 Arapahoe Avenue, Boulder, Colorado 80302
- Denver Central Library, 10 West 14th Avenue Parkway, Denver, Colorado 80204
- Fraser Valley Library, 421 Norgren Road, Fraser, Colorado 80442
- Golden Library, 1019 10th Street, Golden, Colorado 80401
- Granby Library, 55 Zero Street, Granby, Colorado 80446
- Kremmling Library, 300 South 8th Street, Kremmling, Colorado 80459
- Summit County Library North Branch, 651 Center Circle, Silverthorne, Colorado 80498
- Summit County Library South Branch, 504 Airport Road, Breckenridge, Colorado 80424
- Thornton Branch Library, 8992 Washington Street, Thornton, Colorado 80229
- Denver Water, 1600 West 12th Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80204
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District, Denver Regulatory Office, 9307 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Littleton, Colorado 80128

Electronically at:

- <http://www.nwo.usace.army.mil/Missions/RegulatoryProgram/Colorado/EISMoffat.aspx>

6.1.4 Comments Received on the Draft EIS

All written and public hearing oral comments received on the Draft EIS during the public comment period were reviewed and considered in preparation of the Final EIS.

Appendix N provides an index and lists all the comments received on the Draft EIS. Approximately 2,700 comment letters/submissions were received, resulting in almost 5,000 individual comments. Of the comment letters received, 18 form letters were identified. The majority of the comments received on the Draft EIS were related to

Chapter 6 – Consultation and Coordination

conservation/reuse water, general support/general opposition, surface water, mitigation/enhancement measures, water quality, cumulative effects, and aquatic biological resources.

All written and public hearing oral comments were assigned a Submission Identification (ID). Within each submission, the individual comments, questions, or issues were assigned a Comment ID. For example, Submission ID 48 contains three separate comments, designated as Comment IDs 681, 683, and 684.

The following categories were used to initially code and respond to the comments received:

- | | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| • Not Assigned | • Aquatic Biological Resources |
| • Purpose and Need | • Transportation |
| • Proposed Action (Alternative 1a) | • Air Quality |
| • Alternatives – General | • Noise |
| • Alternative 1c | • Recreation |
| • Alternative 8a | • Land Use |
| • Alternative 10a | • Visual Resources |
| • Alternative 13a | • Cultural Resources/Paleontology |
| • No Action Alternative | • Socioeconomics |
| • Surface Water | • Hazardous Materials |
| • Water Quality | • Cumulative Effects |
| • Groundwater | • Recycled Water/Grey Water/Water Rights |
| • Geology | • General Support/General Opposition |
| • Soils | • Denver Water Operations |
| • Vegetation | • Conservation/Reuse Water |
| • Riparian and Wetland Areas | • Mitigation/Enhancement Measures |
| • Wildlife | • Grand County Stream Management Plan |
| • Special Status Species | • Request for Extension |

Comments are organized in Appendix N by report type (i.e., specific form letter report, Federal, Jurisdictions/Municipalities, Organizations/Stakeholders, Public, and State). The response to each comment is provided in the right-hand column. Some responses refer to another response within the same Submission ID, and some responses refer to changes made in the text of the Final EIS as a result of the comment.

6.2 CONSULTATION

The Corps requested that three Federal agencies, with statutory authority over the proposed Project, participate in the NEPA process as Cooperating Agencies (40 CFR 1501.6 and 1508.5), including the EPA, FERC, and USFS. The USFS declined the Corps' request to be a Cooperating Agency, but formal Cooperating Agency agreements were executed between the Corps, FERC, and EPA. Throughout the NEPA process, FERC has focused their input on the area around Gross Reservoir to coincide with the boundary that includes the hydropower relicensing amendment (refer to Section 2.3.2.1). Although the Corps denied a request by Grand County to be a Cooperating Agency, the Corps granted Grand County Consulting Agency status relative to effects on county resources. After the release of the Draft EIS, the Colorado Department of Natural Resources and the CDPHE also became Cooperating Agencies to facilitate Federal and State coordination under the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act and the Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification, respectively.

In addition to substantial informal consultation and coordination on pertinent technical and procedural matters throughout the development of the EIS, the EPA, FERC, and Grand County were formally asked to provide comments and input on scoping, development of Project purpose and need, development of alternatives and impact analyses, the Preliminary Draft EIS, the Draft EIS, and the Preliminary Final EIS. The Corps specifically responded to the agencies regarding their comments during these EIS reviews, and made corresponding changes to the appropriate EIS documents.

Throughout the NEPA process, the Corps communicated regularly via in-person meetings and phone calls with the USFS and Bureau of Land Management to provide Project updates and to discuss agency concerns.

6.2.1 Native American Tribes Coordination

Consultation with an Indian tribe recognizes the government-to-government relationship between the Federal government and sovereign tribal groups, and the Corps, in the context of the Moffat Project EIS, attempted to be sensitive to the fact that historic properties of religious and cultural significance to one or more tribes may be located in the area on ancestral, aboriginal, or ceded lands beyond modern reservation boundaries.

In October 2003 and December 2007, 46 Federally-recognized Native American tribes with an established interest in the area, and the commissions on Indian affairs for the States of Colorado, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming, were notified of the Moffat Project and invited to participate in cultural resource consultation, at their discretion. In addition, efforts were made in December 2007 and January 2008 to contact each of the tribes by telephone, and comments on the Draft EIS, available on October 30, 2009, were requested from each of the tribes.

Of the tribes from whom the Corps directly requested comments about the Project, the Northern Arapaho Tribe, Northern Cheyenne Tribal Council, Cheyenne-Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma, Southern Ute Indian Tribe, Ute Mountain Tribe, and their associated Tribal Historic Preservation Officers responded. Each of these tribes or parties was invited to concur with the Programmatic Agreement, provided in Appendix L.

Chapter 6 – Consultation and Coordination

Table 6-1 lists the government agencies, businesses, organizations, and individuals contacted or consulted with during the preparation of the EIS. Denver Water and its consultants provided technical input regarding the descriptions of the alternatives.

Table 6-1
List of Agencies and Organizations Contacted for the EIS

Organization Name	
Federal Agencies	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – Omaha District and Denver Regulatory Office • U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [<i>Cooperating Agency</i>] • Federal Energy Regulatory Commission [<i>Cooperating Agency</i>] • U.S. Forest Service • Advisory Council on Historic Preservation • Natural Resources Conservation Service • U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management • U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation • U.S. Census Bureau • U.S. Department of Agriculture, Census of Agriculture • U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis • U.S. Department of Health and Human Services • U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics • U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service • U.S. Geological Survey 	
State Agencies	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Colorado Department of Natural Resources [<i>Cooperating Agency beginning April 6, 2011</i>] • Colorado Department of Education • Colorado Department of Labor and Employment, Oil and Public Safety • Colorado Department of Local Affairs • Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) – Air Pollution Control Division • CDPHE – Hazardous Materials/Waste Management Division • CDPHE – Water Quality Control Division [<i>Cooperating Agency beginning September 20, 2012</i>] • Colorado Division of Water Resources – Office of the State Engineer • Colorado Parks and Wildlife (previously called Colorado Division of Wildlife) • History Colorado, Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation • Colorado Natural Heritage Program 	
Local Agencies and Businesses	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adams County • City and County of Boulder • City and County of Broomfield • City and County of Denver • Grand County [<i>Consulting Agency</i>] • Jefferson County • Summit County • Weld County • Denver Regional Council of Governments • Metro Wastewater Reclamation District • Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City of Arvada • City of Aurora • City of Brighton • City of Fort Lupton • City of Golden • City of Lakewood • City of Westminster • Town of Fraser • Town of Kremmling • Town of Platteville • Town of Tabernash • Town of Winter Park
Industry/Consultants	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MWH Americas, Inc. • HDR, Inc. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Satisfi, Inc. • ERO Resources Corporation

Table 6-1 (continued)
List of Agencies and Organizations Contacted for the EIS

Organization Name	
Native American Tribes	
Forty-six tribes and councils were contacted in writing regarding Native American concerns, as required by 36 CFR 800.2(c)(3)	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of Fort Peck • Blackfeet Tribe • Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe • Chippewa Cree Tribe of the Rocky Boys' Reservation • Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes • Crow Creek Sioux Tribe • Crow Nation • Eastern Shoshone Tribe • Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe • Gros Ventre and Assiniboine Tribe of Fort Belknap • Iowa Tribe of Kansas and Nebraska • Kickapoo Tribe in Kansas • Lower Brule Sioux Tribe • Northern Arapaho Tribe • Northern Cheyenne Tribal Council • Oglala Sioux Tribe • Omaha Tribe of Nebraska • Ponca Tribe of Nebraska • Prairie Band of Potawatomi Nation • Rosebud Sioux Tribe • Sac and Fox Nation of Missouri in Kansas and Nebraska • Santee Sioux Nation • Sisseton-Wahpeton Sioux Tribe 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spirit Lake Sioux Tribe • Standing Rock Sioux Tribe • Three Affiliated Tribes • Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa • Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska • Yankton Sioux Tribe • Apache Tribe of Oklahoma • Cheyenne-Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma • Comanche Nation • Comanche Tribe of Oklahoma • Fort Sill Apache Business Committee • Iowa Tribe of Oklahoma • Jicarilla Apache Tribe • Kiowa Indian Tribe of Oklahoma • Northern Ute Tribe, Uintah and Ouray Tribal Business Committee • Otoe-Missouria Tribal Council • Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma • Ponca Tribe of Oklahoma • Sac and Fox Nation • Sac and Fox Tribe of the Mississippi in Iowa • Southern Ute Indian Tribe • Ute Mountain Tribe • Trenton Indian Service Area

6.3 LIST OF REVIEWERS AND PREPARERS

Under the direction of and as the third-party contractor for the Corps, URS Corporation (URS), prepared all phases of the EIS. Several subcontractors assisted URS and the Corps with components of the Moffat Project, including:

- AECOM/Boyle Engineering – Alternatives screening and development, cumulative effects analysis, surface water resources, and advanced water treatment analysis
- Ecological Resource Consultants, Inc. – Alternatives screening and development, cumulative effects analysis, surface water resources, hydrologic modeling, and stream channel dynamics analysis
- AECOM/EDAW and Logan Simpson Design Inc. – Alternatives screening and development, cumulative effects analysis, recreation, visual resources, land use, riparian, and vegetation

Chapter 6 – Consultation and Coordination

- Hydros Consulting Inc. – Water quality analyses and modeling and cumulative effects analysis
- GEI Consultants, Inc. (formerly Chadwick Ecological Consultants, Inc.) – Aquatic biological resources
- Harvey Economics – Socioeconomic analysis
- Ensign Technical Services, Inc. – Special status species surveys
- Malcolm Pirnie/Red Oak Consulting – Geographic information system (GIS) support
- Goodbee & Associates, Inc. – Hazardous materials

Table 6-2 provides the names of the individuals who were principally involved with preparing this EIS.

Table 6-2
List of EIS Preparers

Name	Education	Responsibility
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – Omaha District – Denver Regulatory Office		
Rena Brand	M. Eng. GIS B.S., Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Manager (2013-Present)
Scott Franklin, P.E.	M.S., Water Resources Engineering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Manager (2007-2013)
Chandler Peter*	B.S., Biology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Manager (2002-2006)
URS Corporation		
Andrea Parker	M.S., Ecology and Environmental Science	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Manager (2008-ongoing) • Assistant Project Manager (2005-2008) • Soils
Paula Daukas*	M.S., Water Resources Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Manager (2003-2008)
Donny Allison*	Undergraduate Studies, Civil Engineering and Computer Sciences	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noise
Anjal Armbruster	A.A., Business Administration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Word Processing and Document Reviews
Rachel Badger	B.A., Environmental Conservation and Biology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assistant Project Manager (2003-2005; 2008-2012)
Susan Bassett*	B.S., Chemical Engineering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air Quality
Dale Baures	M.S., Mining Engineering and Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Geology
Rich Chamberlain	M.S., Geography	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GIS
Jeffrey Dawson	M.S., Botany	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wetlands • Vegetation • Wildlife • Special Status Species
Jeanne DeFauw*	B.F.A., Graphic Design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Graphic Design
Jody Glennon	B.S., Marketing and Business Administration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assistant Project Manager (2012-Present) • Public Involvement, Comment-Response, and Technical Reviews (2003-ongoing)

Chapter 6 – Consultation and Coordination

Table 6-2 (continued)
List of EIS Preparers

Name	Education	Responsibility
URS Corporation (continued)		
Phillip Jacques	B.S., Journalism/Advertising	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Graphic Design
Kelsey Johnston, P.E.*	M.S., Civil Engineering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transportation
David Jones*	B.S., General Agriculture, Landscape Horticulture	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Principle-in-Charge Land Use Recreation Visual
Anjali MacDonald*	B.S., Geological Engineering and Hydrogeology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Groundwater Resources
Kim Sandoval*	B.A., Ecology and Evolutionary Biology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wildlife Special Status Species
John Thackston	M.S., Hydrology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Groundwater Resources
Aileen Torres	Business Administration courses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lead Word Processing and Document Reviews
Gordon Tucker	Ph.D., Anthropology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cultural Resources Native American Consultation
Susan Woodside	B.A., Psychology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Word Processing and Document Reviews
AECOM/Boyle Engineering		
Casey Dick	M.S., Water Resources Engineering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> AECOM Project Manager, Water Quality
Jeffrey Bandy*	M.S., Civil Engineering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Water Resources
Meg Frantz*	M.S., Water Resources & Hydrologic Engineering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Water Resources
Lee Lindeen*	M.S., Civil Engineering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Advanced Water Treatment
Todd Shafer	B.S., Civil Engineering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wastewater Treatment
AECOM/EDAW		
Jeremy Call	M.L.A., Landscape Architecture	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visual Resources
Molly Cobbs*	B.S., Environmental Studies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> EDAW Assistant Project Manager, Land Use
Rich Dwerlkotte*	B.S., Environmental Biology Graduate Studies, Plant Ecology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Riparian Resources
John Ko*	B.S., Natural Resources Planning and Interpretation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vegetation
Chad Schneckenburger*	M.S., Parks and Protected Lands Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreation
Craig Severn*	B.S., Range Ecology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vegetation Riparian Resources
Drew Stoll*	M.L.A., Landscape Architecture, GIS Specialization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> GIS
Matt Tobler*	M.S., Rangeland Ecosystem Science	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vegetation Riparian Resources

Chapter 6 – Consultation and Coordination

Table 6-2 (continued)
List of EIS Preparers

Name	Education	Responsibility
Ecological Resource Consultants, Inc.		
Heather Thompson	M.S., Water Resources Engineering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surface Water Resources/ Hydrology
Troy Thompson	M.S., Water Resources Engineering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Geomorphology, Sediment Transport
Ensign Technical Services, Inc.		
Mark Bakeman	Ph.D., Natural Resource Management (soils)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Special Status Species (Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse)
GEI Consultants, Inc. (formerly Chadwick Ecological Consultants, Inc.)		
Don Conklin	M.S., Water Resources Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aquatic Biological Resources
Ashley Ficke	M.S., Fisheries Ecology Ph.D. Candidate, Fisheries Ecology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aquatic Biological Resources
Goodbee & Associates, Inc.		
Millah Nikkel	M.S., Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hazardous Materials
Harvey Economics		
Ed Harvey	M.S., Economics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Socioeconomics
Susan Walker	M.S., Environmental Economics and Policy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Socioeconomics
HDR, Inc.		
Blaine Dwyer	M.S., Water Resources Engineering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water Resources
Hydros Consulting Inc.		
Jean Marie Boyer	Ph.D., Water Resources Engineering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water Quality/Water Resources
Taylor Adams	M.S., Water Resources Engineering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water Quality/Water Resources
Christine Hawley	M.S., Environmental Engineering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water Quality/Water Resources
Logan Simpson Design Inc.		
Tom Keith	M.S., Regional Resource Planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Logan Simpson Project Manager, General Resource Oversight; Alternatives Development
Malcolm Pirnie/Red Oak Consulting		
Brian Baldwin	B.A., Geography	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GIS
Olsson Associates		
Mary Stahl	M.S., Civil Engineering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water Quality

*Formerly on Moffat Project team.

6.4 LIST OF AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS, AND PERSONS TO WHOM COPIES OF THE FINAL EIS WERE SENT AND LOCATIONS WHERE THE FINAL EIS CAN BE REVIEWED

Per 40 CFR Section 1502.10 and in support of Section 1502.19, Tables 6-3a and 6-3b provide a list of agencies, organizations, and persons to whom hard copies and electronic copies of the Moffat Final EIS were sent.

Table 6-3a
List of Agencies, Organizations, and Persons to Whom
Hard Copies of the Moffat Final EIS were Sent

Federal Agencies Bureau of Land Management CENWO-DE, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers CENWO-OD-R, Regulatory Branch, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance, Department of the Interior, Office of Compliance Federal Energy Regulatory Commission U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District, Denver Regulatory Office U.S. Army Records Management and Declassification Agency U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8 (8EPR-N)
State Agencies Colorado Department of Natural Resources Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division
Local Governments/Agencies Grand County
Public Repositories (Libraries) Arvada Library, Reference Department Boulder Main Library, Reference Department Denver Central Library Fraser Valley Library, Reference Department Golden Library, Reference Department Granby Library Kremmling Library Summit County Library, North Branch, Reference Department Summit County Library, South Branch, Reference Department Thornton Branch Library, Reference Department
Project Team Denver Water Ecological Resource Consultants, Inc. GEI Consultants, Inc. Harvey Economics HDR, Inc. Hydros Consulting Inc. Logan Simpson Design Inc. Olsson Associates URS Corporation

Chapter 6 – Consultation and Coordination

Table 6-3b
List of Agencies, Organizations, and Persons to Whom
Electronic Copies of the Moffat Final EIS were Sent

Federal Agencies Bureau of Land Management CENWO-DE, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers CENWO-OD-R, Regulatory Branch, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance, Department of the Interior, Office of Compliance Federal Energy Regulatory Commission U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District, Denver Regulatory Office U.S. Army Records Management and Declassification Agency U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8 (8EPR-N) U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Colorado Ecological Services Field Office U.S. Forest Service, Boulder Ranger District
Native American Tribes Cheyenne-Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma Northern Arapaho Tribe Northern Cheyenne Tribal Council Southern Ute Indian Tribe Ute Mountain Tribe
State Agencies Colorado Department of Natural Resources Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division Colorado Parks and Wildlife Colorado Parks and Wildlife, Northwest Region Colorado Parks and Wildlife, Platte Basin Aquatics
Political Subdivision of the State Colorado River Water Conservation District
Local Governments/Agencies Boulder County Grand County Northwest Colorado Council of Governments
Public Repositories (Libraries) Arvada Library, Reference Department Boulder Main Library, Reference Department Denver Central Library Fraser Valley Library, Reference Department Golden Library, Reference Department Granby Library Kremmling Library Summit County Library, North Branch, Reference Department Summit County Library, South Branch, Reference Department Thornton Branch Library, Reference Department

Table 6-3b (continued)
List of Agencies, Organizations, and Persons to Whom
Electronic Copies of the Moffat Final EIS were Sent

Private Companies Coley/Forrest, Inc. ERO Resources Corporation Western Resource Advocates
Individuals Kwansiewski, Jenifer Morales, Joel and Carol Spector, David Sprung, Gary Thompson, Jeff
Project Team AECOM Denver Water Ecological Resource Consultants, Inc. GEI Consultants, Inc. Harvey Economics HDR, Inc. Hydros Consulting Inc. Logan Simpson Design Inc. Olsson Associates URS Corporation

Copies of the Final EIS are available for review at the following locations:

- Arvada Library, 7525 West 5th Avenue, Arvada, Colorado 80002
- Boulder Main Library, 1001 Arapahoe Avenue, Boulder, Colorado 80302
- Denver Central Library, 10 West 14th Avenue Parkway, Denver, Colorado 80204
- Fraser Valley Library, 421 Norgren Road, Fraser, Colorado 80442
- Golden Library, 1019 10th Street, Golden, Colorado 80401
- Granby Library, 55 Zero Street, Granby, Colorado 80446
- Kremmling Library, 300 South 8th Street, Kremmling, Colorado 80459
- Summit County Library North Branch, 651 Center Circle, Silverthorne, Colorado 80498
- Summit County Library South Branch, 504 Airport Road, Breckenridge, Colorado 80424
- Thornton Branch Library, 8992 Washington Street, Thornton, Colorado 80229
- Denver Water, 1600 West 12th Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80204
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District, Denver Regulatory Office, 9307 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Littleton, Colorado 80128

Chapter 6 – Consultation and Coordination

Electronically at:

- <http://www.nwo.usace.army.mil/Missions/RegulatoryProgram/Colorado/EISMoffat.aspx>